

Strategic Planning & Environment Overview & Scrutiny Agenua

TUESDAY 13 SEPTEMBER 2016 AT 7.30 PM

DBC Bulbourne Room - Civic Centre

The Councillors listed below are requested to attend the above meeting, on the day and at the time and place stated, to consider the business set out in this agenda.

Membership

Councillor G Adshead Councillor Anderson (Chairman) Councillor Ashbourn Councillor E Collins Councillor Fisher Councillor S Hearn Councillor Hicks Councillor Howard Councillor Matthews Councillor Ransley Councillor Riddick

Councillor C Wyatt-Lowe (Vice-Chairman)

Substitute Members:

Councillors Birnie, Link, Ritchie, R Sutton, Timmis and Tindall

For further information, please contact Katie Mogan or Member Support

AGENDA

8. PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND REGENERATION PERFORMANCE REPORT Q1 (Pages 2 - 15)

Agenda Item 8



AGENDA ITEM: 8

SUMMARY

Report for:	Strategic Planning and Environment Overview & Scrutiny Committee
Date of meeting:	13 September 2016
PART:	1
If Part II, reason:	

Title of report:	Quarter 1 Performance Report – Planning, Development and Regeneration
Contact:	Cllr Graham Sutton, Portfolio Holder for Planning and Regeneration
	James Doe, Assistant Director – Planning, Development and Regeneration
Purpose of report:	To report on service performance for the first quarter of 2016/17, and to provide an update on the Operational Risk Register.
Recommendations	That the report be noted.
Corporate objectives:	The report focuses on the service plan for the area and key performance indicators. All corporate objectives are therefore relevant.
Implications:	<u>Financial</u>
	None arising directly from this report.
'Value For Money	Value for Money
Implications'	None arising directly from this report.
Risk Implications	Risk Assessment completed as part of the service plan.
Equalities Implications	None arising from this report.

Health And Safety Implications	None arising from this report.
Consultees:	Cllr Graham Sutton, Portfolio Holder for Planning and Regeneration.
	Mark Gaynor, Corporate Director for Housing and Regeneration
	Sara Whelan, Group Manager for Development Management and Planning
	Nathalie Bateman, Acting Group Manager for Strategic Planning and Regeneration
Background papers:	Performance information held on the CorVu system.

Introduction

- **1.** The report provides the performance report for the first quarter of the current business year. The detailed performance information is at Appendix 1.
- 2. Performance has been strong on service delivery with all indicators at green and only one at Amber, where performance is exactly on target. The main issue is that all three income streams (planning, building control and land charges) are under target.

Performance Indicators

- 3. <u>Building Control</u>. Performance is again strong, with 100% of all applications determined within 2 months (BC01)
- 4. <u>Development Management</u>. This has been a strong quarter. Income from planning fees may be down this is set out below but compared to Q1 of 2015-16, the actual number of applications received (DMP02) has risen from 659 to 766, an increase of 16%.
- 5. All three application processing targets (DMP04, 05 and 06) are on target. Performance in major applications (DMP04) is well above target at just over 90%, with significantly more majors processed from Q1 last year, at 12 applications from 7 the previous year.
- 6. Refusals of planning applications (DMP07) remains low at just over 5%.
- 7. The only process-based performance indicator at amber this quarter is on the validation of planning applications within three working days (DMP08), but this is exactly on target at 70%.
- 8. <u>Planning Enforcement</u>. Performance has remained high. The Council's approved Local Enforcement Plan sets out priorities for investigations into three categories. All Priority 1 cases were visited within 1 working day (PE01). Priority 2 cases (PE02) came in just under target at 98.5% for the target visiting time of 10 working days. All priority 3 case (PE03) were visited within 15 working days.

- 9. <u>Land Charges</u>. This is a competitive service, and workload and business remains very high, though the number of property searches received (LC01) was down at 589 searches from the 703 received in Q1 of 2015/16. Performance has improved again at an average processing time of 5.42 days against the target of ten.
- 10. <u>Strategic Planning and Regeneration</u>. The house building market was active during Q1 with 164 new homes built (SPR05), significantly up from the 99 homes completed in Q1 of 2015-16.
- 11. Finance. The main variance to report is, despite a numeric increase in the number of planning applications received in Q1, income from planning fees is down from the budgeted profile level anticipated. Last year there was a significant receipt from planning fees at £954,000 against the budget target of £740,000. On the strength of healthy market activity, the income target for 2016/17 has been set at £812,710. For Q1, planning fees received (FIN16) at just over £134,000 is some £61,000 short of what might be expected at this stage of the year if income is treated as coming it at an even pace. The reality is that it will vary from month to month, but as things currently stand there is likely to be a shortfall against budgeted income at the end of the year.
- 12. The expected shortfall is due to a now expected decrease in the number of large scale proposals that were expected to be received. Some major housebuilders are delaying plans for new developments due to wider economic uncertainties. This may change over the course of the year, but at the moment this situation has to be considered a risk to income expected. Officers are monitoring matters closely.
- 13. For building control fees, there is a more minor shortfall on anticipated income (FIN15) with just under £154,000 received against the target of £164,000. In land charges, income is about £6,000 under target at £64,000.

Operational Risk Register

14. The risk register is at appendix 2. This has been reviewed recently and includes updates on the planning fee situation and the Local Plan process. Questions on the register are invited at the meeting.

June 2016



Planning Development & Regeneration - James Doe

PDR_F01 Market fails to bring forward because of continuing economic uncertainty

Category: Financial	Corporate Priority: Regeneration		Risk Owner: James Doe	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance: Treating
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score
Likely Consec	4 Severe	12 Red	3 Likely	2 Medium	6 Amber
Consec	quences	Current	Controls	Assu	rance
Negds of the community in local services will not be me	t.	Economic Development Straprocess planned in - Dacorum Development Prodedicated team and budget - Participation in county-wid - Corporate actions; developmanaged through Corporate - Dacorum: Look No Further operational with £150,000 fe - Submission made to the LE Fund for a range of projects - New Town Centre team in Strategy now approved; fund 2016 but services are to be a onwards to allow TC manage	regramme in place with de initiatives and Partnership be ments monitored and de Regeneration Group; initiative in place and und over two years P's Single Local Growth to boost the economy; place with Town Centre ding for this ends in March rearranged for 16/17	t-document-library/ed-strate sfvrsn=0 Dacorum Development Prog http://www.dacorum.gov.ul	nofurther.co.uk/docs/defaulegy-brochure-web-pdf.pdf? ramme at k/docs/default-dpjanuary2013.pdf?sfvrsn=0 erally at k/home/regeneration

09/09/2016 03:55PM Page 1 of 8

June 2016



http://www.dacorum.gov.uk/home/council-democracy/meetings-minutes-and-agendas/events/2014/10/21/cabinet/cabinet

Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

PDR_F02 External funding sources are reduced or disappear					
Ca te gory:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:
Figure 1	Regeneration		James Doe		Treating
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score
3 Likely	4 Severe	12 Red	2 Unlikely	4 Severe	8 Amber
Consec	quences	Current	Controls	Assur	rance
Key projects fail to come forward.		Robust project management minimise risk to the Council ensure timely spend to avoid Corporate Project Management of cases throu Programme Board.	in seeking new funds and to d clawback of grant funding. nent systems	Cabinet reports on Water Ga Cabinet reports on Maylands Bid submission to Herts LEP	
Sign Off and Comments					
Sign Off Complete					

09/09/2016 03:55PM Page 2 of 8

June 2016



PDR_F03 Key income streams do not meet planning fees, building regulations and local land charges income budgets

Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:
Financial	Regeneration		James Doe		Treating
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score
3 Likely	4 Severe	12 Red	2 Unlikely	3 High	6 Amber
□ Consequences		Current Controls		Assui	rance
ble to meet government and local targets. - Ad press - Shortfall on budget and potential staff cuts/service reduction		Monthly monitoring of deve with Accountancy at GM and	·	Budget preparation for 2016 income from planning fees.	/17 plans for increased
Sign Off and Comments					

Sign Off Complete

Significant fall in planning fee income in Q1 of 2016/17 which was not expected given hesitancy of major developers at the current time. Budget Variance plan being prepared.

PDR_I01 Failure to deliver on the Regeneration and Sustainability Agenda by Insufficent Capac

Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:
Infrastructure	Regeneration		James Doe		Treating
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score
3	4	12	2	3	6
Likely	Severe	Red	Unlikely	High	Amber

09/09/2016 03:55PM Page 3 of 8

June 2016



Consequences	Current Controls	Assurance
Regeneration projects fail, are delayed or go over budget.	- SPAR team fully in place - Formation of corporate regeneration group has brought in further support and capacity - Projects monitored through Dacorum Regeneration Programme Board and Steering Group	Project PIDs and governance in place, particularly Corporate Regeneration Group and Dacorum Regeneration Programme Board. See Cabinet report Dec 2013 regarding Hemel Evolution project management arrangements http://www.dacorum.gov.uk/docs/default-source/council-democracy/cabinet13-12-17hemel-evolution-reportfinal-report-jd-comments.pdf?
Page 8		sfvrsn=0 Work now progressing on site for the Marlowes Shopping Zone improvements and on schedule.
	Sign Off and Comments	
Sign Off Complete		

PDR_I02 Failure to deliver on the Regeneration and Sustainability Agenda by lack of internal expertise **Category: Corporate Priority:** Risk Owner: Portfolio Holder: Tolerance: Infrastructure Regeneration James Doe Treating Residual Risk Score **Inherent Probability Inherent Risk Score Residual Probability Residual Impact Inherent Impact** 3 4 12 2 3 6 Amber Likely Severe Red Unlikely High **Current Controls** Consequences **Assurance** Regeneration projects fail, are delayed or go over Qualified staf appointed. See Dec 2013 Cabinet report for Hemel Evolution

09/09/2016 03:55PM Page 4 of 8

June 2016



budget.		project management arrangements		
	Specialist expertise has been brought in using project	http://www.dacorum.gov.uk/docs/default-		
	finance on cost management, Design monitoring and	source/council-democracy/cabinet13-12-17hemel-		
	Health and Safety.	evolution-reportfinal-report-jd-comments.pdf?		
		sfvrsn=0		
Sign Off and Comments				

Sign Off Incomplete

∰egory:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:	
nfrastructure	Regeneration		James Doe		Treating	
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score	
3	4	12	2	3	6	
Likely	Severe	Red	Unlikely	High	Amber	
Consequences Cu		Current	Controls	Assurance		
Regeneration projects fail, are delayed or go over budget. Regul		Regular engagement with ke through direct project mana Dacorum Regeneration Prog	-	HH Town Centre Masterplan http://www.dacorum.gov.ukl-evolution/hemel-hempstea Water Gardens funding repolities//www.dacorum.gov.ukdemocracy/meetings-minutagendas/events/2014/07/22	k/home/regeneration/hem ad-masterplan ort to Cabinet at k/home/council- es-and-	
Sign Off and Comments						
ign Off Complete						

09/09/2016 03:55PM Page 5 of 8

June 2016



PDR_I04 Failure of Business Continuity Plan to keep critical and key services running						
Category: Infrastructure	Corporate Priority: Dacorum Delivers		Risk Owner: James Doe	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance: Tolerating	
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score	
2	3	6	1	3	3	
Unlikely	High	Amber	Very Unlikely	High	Green	
			Controls	Assurance		
- Ress of service to the public - harm to Council's reputation - duty to meet legal requirements is impaired Prioritisation		Actions in Corporate Busines Prioritisation of key service i other failure.	·	Corporate Business Continu	ity Plan	
Sign Off and Comments						
Sign Off Incomplete						

PDR_I05 Workforce Planning fails to prevent service failure							
Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:		
Infrastructure	Dacorum Delivers		James Doe		Treating		
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score		
3	4	12	2	4	8		
Likely	Severe	Red	Unlikely	Severe	Amber		
Consequences		Current	Controls	Assu	rance		

09/09/2016 03:55PM Page 6 of 8

Service cannot be delivered effectively if staffing levels Workforce development plan as drafted

June 2016

are reduced



Workforce Development Plan

		Timely filling of posts and re responsibilities where appropriate	opriate when staff leave to be developed in house to etention issues caused by a			
		Sign Off an	d Comments			
Sign Off Complete D PDR_R01 Local Development Framework (LDF) fails to meet milestones in Local Development Scheme						
Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:	
•	Corporate Priority: Dacorum Delivers		Risk Owner: James Doe	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerating	
		Inherent Risk Score		Portfolio Holder: Residual Impact		
Reputational	Dacorum Delivers	Inherent Risk Score 12 Red	James Doe		Tolerating	
3 Likely	Dacorum Delivers Inherent Impact 4	12 Red	James Doe Residual Probability 1	Residual Impact 3 High	Tolerating Residual Risk Score 3	

09/09/2016 03:55PM Page 7 of 8

June 2016



Page 12

http://web.dacorum.gov.uk/docs/default-source/council-democracy/core-strategy-adoption---report-(373-kb).pdf?sfvrsn=0

supplementary report to Cabinet on 17 Sep at http://web.dacorum.gov.uk/docs/default-source/council-democracy/core-strategy-adoption---report-supplement---core-strategy-legal-challenge-(572-kb).pdf?sfvrsn=0

Further report to Cabinet on next steps with Local Planning Framework Dec 2013 http://www.dacorum.gov.uk/docs/default-source/council-democracy/annual-monitoring-report-and-lpf---report-(187-kb).pdf?sfvrsn=0

Local Development Scheme at http://www.dacorum.gov.uk/docs/default-source/strategic-planning/lds-2014-final-version.pdf? sfvrsn=0

Other cabinet reports on Local Planning Framework progress

Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

Programme has slipped due to delays from the Planning Inspectorate. Revised Local Development Scheme to be prepared.

09/09/2016 03:55PM Page 8 of 8

SPE OSC QUARTERLY PERFORMANCE REPORT

Planning, Development and Regeneration

June 2016



Measure	Owner & Updater	Jun 2016 Result	Mar 2016 Result	Jun 2015 Result	Sign Off	Comments
BC01 - Percentage of Building Control Applications determined within 2 months	Sara Whelan Andrew Howard	100% (165/165) Target: 100	100% (164/164) Target: 100	100% (194/194) Target: 100	•	Updater Target Met Owner Good result in maintaining this as a lot of work goes into achieving this statistic
DMP02 - Number of planning applications received Page	Sara Whelan Fiona Bogle	766 Applications Info Only	645 Applications Info Only	659 Applications Info Only		Owner Large increase compared to Qrt 1 last year - will keep an eye on increased amount of work coming in
DMR04 - Percentage of major applications determined within 13 weeks (YTD)	Sara Whelan Fiona Bogle	92.31% (12/13) Target: 60	83.33% (5/6) Target: 60	77.78% (7/9) Target: 60	•	Updater Target Met. 9 of these were within an agreed extension of time. Owner good result
DMP05 - Percentage of minor applications determined within 8 weeks	Sara Whelan Fiona Bogle	80.52% (62/77) Target: 65	82.89% (63/76) Target: 65	51.96% (53/102) Target: 65	•	Owner slightly down on last month but good result
DMP06 - Percentage of other applications determined within 8 weeks	Sara Whelan Fiona Bogle	85.09% (291/342) Target: 80	86.5% (237/274) Target: 80	63.46% (198/312) Target: 80	•	Owner Good result maintaining performance on higher throughput compared to last quarter



Report run: 09/09/2016



Measure	Owner & Updater	Jun 2016 Result	Mar 2016 Result	Jun 2015 Result	Sign Off	Comments
DMP07 - Percentage of planning applications refused	Sara Whelan Fiona Bogle	5.13% (21/409) Target: 10	6.5% (26/400) Target: 10	8.97% (39/435) Target: 10	•	Owner Good result below target
DMP08 - Percentage of planning applications validated within 3 working days	Sara Whelan Joan Reid	70% (548/783) Target: 70	74% (597/806) Target: 70	70% (639/915) Target: 70	•	Owner Just on target and will keep an eye on performance in this area
FIN15 - Building Control Income ytd actual against profiled budget	James Doe Caroline Souto	£153898 Target: 164209	£573322 Target: 544000	£166897 Target: 156413		Updater
FIN16 - Planning Fees ytd actual against profiled budget	James Doe Caroline Souto	£134520 Target: 195757	£953897 Target: 740000	£180844 Target: 189350		Updater
Fig. 17 - Search Fees ytd actual against profiled budget	James Doe Caroline Souto	£64062 Target: 70000	£284422 Target: 280000	£76268 Target: 70000		Updater
LCD - Volume of Local Land Charges Searches Received	Sara Whelan Ann Stowe	589 Searches Info Only	552 Searches Info Only	703 Searches Info Only	•	Updater The volume of searches has increased by 7% for this quarter. Owner noted that volume of work is up on last quarter but is below compared to this time last year
LC04 - Average time taken to process an official Local Land Charges search	Sara Whelan Ann Stowe	5.42 Days Target: 10	4.82 Days Target: 10	13.2 Days Target: 10	•	Updater The turnaround time has increased during this quarter as we have had more searches. We have maintained a steady turnaround time below our new target of 7 days. Owner Good result as also had long term sickness in the team



Report run: 09/09/2016



Measure	Owner & Updater	Jun 2016 Result	Mar 2016 Result	Jun 2015 Result	Sign Off	Comments
PE01 - Percentage of priority 1 enforcement cases visited within 1 working day	Sara Whelan Philip Stanley	100% (3/3) Target: 100	100% (6/6) Target: 100	100% (7/7) Target: 100	•	Updater Maintaining 100% Owner Good result and important to maintain 100%
PE02 - Percentage of priority 2 enforcement cases visited within 10 working days	Sara Whelan Philip Stanley	98.5% (67/68) Target: 100	90.3% (56/62) Target: 100	96.9% (62/64) Target: 100	•	Updater An excellent performance bearing in mind high caseload Owner improvement compared to last quarter and good result considering workload
PE03 - Percentage of priority 3 enforcement cases visited within 15 working days	Sara Whelan Philip Stanley	100% (66/66) Target: 100	100% (60/60) Target: 100	95.6% (43/45) Target: 100	•	Updater A great effort to achieve 100% Owner Good result
SPR05 - Number of new homes completed	Nathalie Bateman Francis Whittaker	164 Homes Info Only	128 Homes Info Only	99 Homes Info Only	×	



5